Programming Languages

Chapter One

1

Reasons for Studying Concepts of Programming Languages

Increased ability to express ideas Improved background for choosing appropriate languages Increased ability to learn new languages Better understanding of significance of implementation Better use of languages that are already known Overall advancement of computing

Outline

- What makes programming languages an interesting subject?
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections

The Amazing Variety

- There are very many, very different languages
- (A list that used to be posted occasionally on comp.lang.misc had over 2300 published languages in 1995)
- Often grouped into four families:
 - Imperative
 - Functional
 - Logic
 - Object-oriented

4

Programming Domains

- Scientific applications
 - Large numbers of floating point computations; use of arrays
 - Fortran
- Business applications
 - Produce reports, use decimal numbers and characters
 - COBOL
- Artificial intelligence
 - Symbols rather than numbers manipulated; use of linked lists
 - LISP
- Systems programming
 - Need efficiency because of continuous use
 - C
- Web Software
 - Eclectic collection of languages: markup (e.g., HTML), scripting (e.g., PHP), general-purpose (e.g., Java)

Imperative Languages Example: a factorial function in C int fact(int n) { int sofar = 1; while (n>0) sofar *= n--; return sofar;

- Hallmarks of imperative languages:
 - Assignment
 - Iteration
 - Order of execution is critical

Functional Languages

Example: a factorial function in ML

fun fact x =
if x <= 0 then 1 else x * fact(x-1);
Hallmarks of functional languages:

Single-valued variables

- Heavy use of recursion

Another Functional Language

Example: a factorial function in Lisp

(defun fact (x) (if (<= x 0) 1 (* x (fact (- x 1)))))Looks very different from ML But ML and Lisp are closely related - Single-valued variables: no assignment – Heavy use of recursion: no iteration

Logic Languages

Example: a factorial function in Prolog

```
fact(X,1) :-
    X =:= 1.
fact(X,Fact) :-
    X > 1,
    NewX is X - 1,
    fact(NewX,NF),
    Fact is X * NF.
```

Hallmark of logic languages Program expressed as rules in formal logic

Modern Programming Languages, 2nd

Object-Oriented Languages

Example: a Java definition for a kind of object that can store an integer and compute its factorial

```
public class MyInt {
  private int value;
  public MyInt(int value) {
    this.value = value;
  }
  public int getValue() {
    return value;
  public MyInt getFact() {
    return new MyInt(fact(value));
  private int fact(int n) {
    int sofar = 1;
    while (n > 1) sofar *= n--;
    return sofar;
```

Object-Oriented Languages

- Hallmarks of object-oriented languages:
 - Usually imperative, plus...
 - Constructs to help programmers use
 "objects"—little bundles of data that know how to do things to themselves

Strengths and Weaknesses

- The different language groups show to advantage on different kinds of problems
- Decide for yourself at the end of the semester, after experimenting with them
- For now, one comment: don't jump to conclusions based on factorial!
 - Functional languages do well on such functions
 - Imperative languages, a bit less well
 - Logic languages, considerably less well
 - Object-oriented languages need larger examples

Language Evaluation Criteria

Readability: the ease with which programs can be read and understood

Writability: the ease with which a language can be used to create programs

Reliability: conformance to specifications (i.e., performs to its specifications)

Cost: the ultimate total cost

Evaluation Criteria: Readability

- Overall simplicity
 - » A manageable set of features and constructs
 - » Minimal feature multiplicity
 - » Minimal operator overloading
- Orthogonality
- » A relatively small set of primitive constructs can be combined in a relatively small number of ways
- » Every possible combination is legal
- Data types
- » Adequate predefined data types
- Syntax considerations
 - » Identifier forms: flexible composition
 - » Special words and methods of forming compound statements
 - » Form and meaning: self-descriptive constructs, meaningful keywords

Evaluation Criteria: Writability

- Simplicity and orthogonality
 - Few constructs, a small number of primitives, a small set of rules for combining them
- Support for abstraction
 - The ability to define and use complex structures or operations in ways that allow details to be ignored
- Expressivity
 - A set of relatively convenient ways of specifying operations
 - Strength and number of operators and predefined functions

Evaluation Criteria: Reliability

- Type checking
 - Testing for type errors
- Exception handling
 - Intercept run-time errors and take corrective measures
- Aliasing
 - Presence of two or more distinct referencing methods for the same memory location
- Readability and writability
 - A language that does not support "natural" ways of expressing an algorithm will require the use of "unnatural" approaches, and hence reduced reliability

Evaluation Criteria: Cost

- Training programmers to use the language
- Writing programs (closeness to particular applications)
- Compiling programs
- Executing programs
- Language implementation system: availability of free compilers
- Reliability: poor reliability leads to high costs
- Maintaining programs

Evaluation Criteria: Others

- Portability
 - The ease with which programs can be moved from one implementation to another
- Generality
 - The applicability to a wide range of applications
- Well-definedness
 - The completeness and precision of the language's official definition

About Those Families

- There are many other language family terms (not exhaustive and sometimes overlapping)
 - Applicative, concurrent, constraint, declarative, definitional, procedural, scripting, singleassignment, ...
- Some *multi-paradigm* languages straddle families: JavaScript, OCaml, Python, Ruby
- Others are so unique that assigning them to a family is pointless

Modern Programming Languages, 2nd

Chapter One

Example: Forth Factorial

- : FACTORIAL
 - 1 SWAP BEGIN ?DUP WHILE TUCK * SWAP 1- REPEAT ;

- A stack-oriented language
- Postscript is similar
- Could be called *imperative*, but has little in common with most imperative languages

Example: APL Factorial

\times / ι X

- An APL expression that computes X's factorial
- Expands X it into a vector of the integers 1..X, then multiplies them all together
- (You would not really do it that way in APL, since there is a predefined factorial operator: !X)
- Could be called *functional*, but has little in common with most functional languages

Outline

- What makes programming languages an interesting subject?
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections

The Odd Controversies

- Programming languages are the subject of many heated debates:
 - Partisan arguments
 - Language standards
 - Fundamental definitions

Language Partisans

- There is a lot of argument about the relative merits of different languages
- Every language has partisans, who praise it in extreme terms and defend it against all detractors

Language Standards

- The documents that define language standards are often drafted by international committees
- Can be a slow, complicated and rancorous process
- Fortran 82 8X 88 90 standard released in 1991

Basic Definitions

- Some terms refer to fuzzy concepts: all those language family names, for example
- No problem; just remember they are fuzzy
 - Bad: Is X really an *object-oriented* language?
 - Good: What aspects of X support an *object-oriented* style of programming?
- Some crisp concepts have conflicting terminology: one person's *argument* is another person's *actual parameter*

Outline

- What makes programming languages an interesting subject?
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections

The Intriguing Evolution

- Programming languages are evolving rapidly
 - New languages are being invented
 - Old ones are developing new dialects

New Languages

- A clean slate: no need to maintain compatibility with an existing body of code
- But never entirely *new* any more: always using ideas from earlier designs
- Some become widely used, others do not
- Whether widely used or not, they can serve as a source of ideas for the next generation

Widely Used: Java

- Quick rise to popularity since 1995 release
- Java uses many ideas from C++, plus some from Mesa, Modula, and other languages
- C++ uses most of C and extends it with ideas from Simula 67, Ada, Clu, ML and Algol 68
- C was derived from B, which was derived from BCPL, which was derived from CPL, which was derived from Algol 60

Not Widely Used: Algol

- One of the earliest languages: Algol 58, Algol 60, Algol 68
- Never widely used
- Introduced many ideas that were used in later languages, including
 - Block structure and scope
 - Recursive functions
 - Parameter passing by value

Dialects

Experience with languages reveals their design weaknesses and leads to new dialects
 New ideas pass into new dialects of old languages

Some Dialects Of Fortran

- Original Fortran, IBM
- Major standards:
 - Fortran II
 - Fortran III
 - Fortran IV
 - Fortran 66
 - Fortran 77
 - Fortran 90
 - Fortran 95
 - Fortran 2003
 - Fortran 2008?

Fortran MVienna Fortran

- HPF

And many more...

Deviations in each

implementation

Parallel processing

Outline

- What makes programming languages an interesting subject?
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections

The Connection To Programming Practice

- Languages influence programming practice
 A language favors a particular programming style—a particular approach to algorithmic problem-solving
- Programming experience influences language design

Language Influences Programming Practice

- Languages often strongly favor a particular style of programming
 - Object-oriented languages: a style making heavy use of objects
 - Functional languages: a style using many small side-effect-free functions
 - Logic languages: a style using searches in a logically-defined problem space

Fighting the Language

- Languages favor a particular style, but do not force the programmer to follow it
- It is always possible to write in a style not favored by the language
- It is not usually a good idea...

Imperative ML

ML makes it hard to use assignment and side-effects. But it is still possible:

```
fun fact n =
  let
    val i = ref 1;
    val xn = ref n
  in
    while !xn>1 do (
       i := !i * !xn;
       xn := !xn - 1
     );
     !i
  end;
     Modern Programming Languages, 2nd
```

Chapter One

Non-object-oriented Java

Java, more than C++, tries to encourage you to adopt an object-oriented mode. But you can still put your whole program into static methods of a single class:

```
class Fubar {
   public static void main (String[] args) {
      // whole program here!
   }
}
```

Functional Pascal

Any imperative language that supports recursion can be used as a functional language:

```
function ForLoop(Low, High: Integer): Boolean;
begin
    if Low <= High then
        begin
        {for-loop body here}
        ForLoop := ForLoop(Low+1, High)
        end
    else
        ForLoop := True
end;
```

Programming Experience Influences Language Design

- Corrections to design problems make future dialects, as already noted
- Programming styles can emerge before there is a language that supports them
 - Programming with objects predates objectoriented languages
 - Automated theorem proving predates logic languages

Outline

- What makes programming languages an interesting subject?
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections

Other Connections: Computer Architecture

- Language evolution drives and is driven by hardware evolution:
 - Call-stack support languages with recursion
 - Parallel architectures parallel languages
 - Internet Java

Other Connections: Theory of Formal Languages

- Theory of formal languages is a core mathematical area of computer science
 - Regular grammars, finite-state automata lexical structure of programming languages, scanner in a compiler
 - Context-free grammars, pushdown automata phraselevel structure of programming languages, parser in a compiler
 - Turing machines Turing-equivalence of programming languages

Turing Equivalence

- Languages have different strengths, but fundamentally they all have the same power
 - {problems solvable in Java}
 - = {problems solvable in Fortran}
 = ...
- And all have the same power as various mathematical models of computation
 - = {problems solvable by Turing machine}
 - = {problems solvable by lambda calculus}
- *Church-Turing thesis*: this is what "computability" means

= ...

Conclusion

- Why programming languages are worth studying (and this course worth taking):
 - The amazing variety
 - The odd controversies
 - The intriguing evolution
 - The connection to programming practice
 - The many other connections
- Plus...there is the fun of learning three new languages!